

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT SEATTLE

6 SHERMAN BRONSINK and DAGMAR
7 FRIESS,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 ALLIED PROPERTY AND CASUALTY
11 INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

12 Defendant(s).

NO. C09-75MJP

ORDER ON MOTION TO REVISE
CASE SCHEDULE

13 The Court, having received and reviewed the Stipulation and Order Amending Order Setting
14 Trial Date (Dkt. No. 70), makes the following findings:

- 15 1. The motion contains no specific (or insufficient) facts to establish good cause
16 2. The motion provides no suitable explanation as to why the existing case
schedule deadlines were not met.

17 Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the request to revise the existing case schedule is DENIED
18 without prejudice to bring a renewed motion which cures the defects noted above and outlines a plan
19 for meeting the revised deadlines.

20 The clerk is directed to send copies of this order to all counsel of record.

21 Dated: February 12, 2010

22 

23 Marsha J. Pechman
24 U.S. District Judge